Non-equilibrium almost-stationary states for interacting electrons on a lattice

Stefan Teufel, Universität Tübingen Quantissima II, Venice, 2017.

Based on joint work with **Domenico Monaco**.

As a microscopic model for a quantum Hall system consider a system of interacting fermions on the domain Λ , where $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{Z}^2$ is the centred square of side-length L with the vertical edges identified.

As a microscopic model for a quantum Hall system consider a system of interacting fermions on the domain Λ , where $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{Z}^2$ is the centred square of side-length L with the vertical edges identified.



As a microscopic model for a quantum Hall system consider a system of interacting fermions on the domain Λ , where $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{Z}^2$ is the centred square of side-length L with the vertical edges identified.

A typical Hamiltonian could be of the form

$$\begin{split} H_0^{\Lambda} &= \sum_{(x,y)\in\Lambda^2} a_x^* \, T(x \stackrel{\Lambda}{-} y) \, a_y + \sum_{x\in\Lambda} a_x^* \phi(x) a_x \\ &+ \sum_{\{x,y\}\subset\Lambda} a_x^* a_x \, W(d^{\Lambda}(x,y)) \, a_y^* a_y - \mu \, \mathfrak{N}_{\Lambda} \, , \end{split}$$

where $a_{x,i}^*$ and $a_{x,i}$ are standard fermionic creation and annihilation operators at the sites $x \in \Lambda$.

As a microscopic model for a quantum Hall system consider a system of interacting fermions on the domain Λ , where $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{Z}^2$ is the centred square of side-length L with the vertical edges identified.

A typical Hamiltonian could be of the form

$$\begin{aligned} H_0^{\Lambda} &= \sum_{(x,y)\in\Lambda^2} a_x^* \, T(x \stackrel{\Lambda}{-} y) \, a_y + \sum_{x\in\Lambda} a_x^* \phi(x) a_x \\ &+ \sum_{\{x,y\}\subset\Lambda} a_x^* a_x \, W(d^{\Lambda}(x,y)) \, a_y^* a_y - \mu \, \mathfrak{N}_{\Lambda} \, , \end{aligned}$$

where $a_{x,i}^*$ and $a_{x,i}$ are standard fermionic creation and annihilation operators at the sites $x \in \Lambda$.

In the following by a "local Hamiltonian" we mean a family $A = \{A^{\Lambda}\}_{\Lambda}$ of self-adjoint operators A^{Λ} indexed by the system size Λ and possibly other parameters that is a "sum of local terms". Typically

 $\|A^{\Lambda}\|\sim |\Lambda|=L^d.$

Assume that $H_0 = \{H_0^{\Lambda}\}$ has a ground state that is gapped uniformly in the system size $|\Lambda|$, i.e.

$$\inf_{\Lambda} \operatorname{dist} \left(E_0^{\Lambda}, \sigma(H_0^{\Lambda}) \setminus \{ E_0^{\Lambda} \} \right) = g > 0.$$

Assume that $H_0 = \{H_0^{\Lambda}\}$ has a ground state that is gapped uniformly in the system size $|\Lambda|$, i.e.

$$\inf_{\Lambda} \operatorname{dist} \left(E_0^{\Lambda}, \sigma(H_0^{\Lambda}) \setminus \{ E_0^{\Lambda} \} \right) = g > 0.$$

Now add the potential of an electric field of magnitude ε pointing in the 2-direction,

$$V^{\varepsilon,\Lambda} := \sum_{x \in \Lambda} \varepsilon \, x_2 \, a_x^* a_x \, .$$

Assume that $H_0 = \{H_0^{\Lambda}\}$ has a ground state that is gapped uniformly in the system size $|\Lambda|$, i.e.

$$\inf_{\Lambda} \operatorname{dist} \left(E_0^{\Lambda}, \sigma(H_0^{\Lambda}) \setminus \{ E_0^{\Lambda} \} \right) = g > 0 \,.$$

Now add the potential of an electric field of magnitude ε pointing in the 2-direction,

$$V^{\varepsilon,\Lambda} := \sum_{x \in \Lambda} \varepsilon \, x_2 \, a_x^* a_x \, .$$

Note that the potential difference of εL at the two edges is, for sufficiently large system size L, larger than the spectral gap g. Thus, the perturbed Hamiltonian

$$H^{\varepsilon,\Lambda} := H_0^{\Lambda} + V^{\varepsilon,\Lambda}$$

no longer has a meaningful gap above the ground state.

Assume that initially the perturbation $V^{\varepsilon,\Lambda}$ is switched-off and the system is in its ground state P_0^{Λ} .

Assume that initially the perturbation $V^{\varepsilon,\Lambda}$ is switched-off and the system is in its ground state P_0^{Λ} .

Then slowly turn on the electric field.

Assume that initially the perturbation $V^{\varepsilon,\Lambda}$ is switched-off and the system is in its ground state P_0^{Λ} .

Then slowly turn on the electric field.

Once the field has reached its final value, one expects that the system is in a (almost) stationary state that, in particular, could carry a stationary, non-vanishing Hall current along the closed direction of the cylinder.

Assume that initially the perturbation $V^{\varepsilon,\Lambda}$ is switched-off and the system is in its ground state P_0^{Λ} .

Then slowly turn on the electric field.

Once the field has reached its final value, one expects that the system is in a (almost) stationary state that, in particular, could carry a stationary, non-vanishing Hall current along the closed direction of the cylinder.

This state is not the ground state of $H^{\varepsilon,\Lambda}$, nor is it any other equilibrium state of $H^{\varepsilon,\Lambda}$, since, for example, the local Fermi-levels at the opposite edges are expected to be different.



Heuristic picture suggesting the existence of a non-equilibrium almost-stationary state (NEASS):



Let H_0 and H_1 be families of self-adjoint local Hamiltonians, let H_0 have a gapped ground state, let V_v be a slowly varying potential, and put

 $H:=H_0+V_v+\varepsilon H_1.$

Let H_0 and H_1 be families of self-adjoint local Hamiltonians, let H_0 have a gapped ground state, let V_v be a slowly varying potential, and put

 $H:=H_0+V_{\nu}+\varepsilon H_1.$

Theorem (Non-equilibrium almost-stationary states)

There is a sequence of self-adjoint local Hamiltonians S_n , such that for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ the projector

$$\Pi_n^{\varepsilon,\Lambda} := \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\varepsilon S_n^{\varepsilon,\Lambda}} P_0^{\Lambda} \, \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}\varepsilon S_n^{\varepsilon,\Lambda}}$$

satisfies

$$[\Pi_n^{\varepsilon,\Lambda}, H^{\varepsilon,\Lambda}] = \varepsilon^{n+1} \left[\Pi_n^{\varepsilon,\Lambda}, R_n^{\varepsilon,\Lambda}\right]$$

for some local R_n .

Theorem (Non-equilibrium almost-stationary states)

There is a sequence of self-adjoint local Hamiltonians S_n , such that for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ the projector

$$\Pi_n^{\varepsilon,\Lambda} := \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\varepsilon S_n^{\varepsilon,\Lambda}} P_0^{\Lambda} \, \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}\varepsilon S_n^{\varepsilon,\Lambda}}$$

satisfies

$$[\Pi_n^{\varepsilon,\Lambda}, H^{\varepsilon,\Lambda}] = \varepsilon^{n+1} \, [\Pi_n^{\varepsilon,\Lambda}, R_n^{\varepsilon,\Lambda}]$$

for some local R_n .

Let $\rho^{\varepsilon,\Lambda}(t)$ be the solution of the Schrödinger equation

 $\mathrm{i} \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} \rho^{\varepsilon, \wedge}(t) = [H^{\varepsilon, \wedge}, \rho^{\varepsilon, \wedge}(t)] \quad \text{with} \quad \rho^{\varepsilon, \wedge}(0) = \Pi_n^{\varepsilon, \wedge}.$

Then there is a constant C independent of Λ such that for any local Hamiltonian B it holds that

$$\sup_{\Lambda} \frac{1}{|\Lambda|} \left| \operatorname{tr} \left(\rho^{\varepsilon, \Lambda}(t) B^{\Lambda} \right) - \operatorname{tr} \left(\Pi_n^{\varepsilon, \Lambda} B^{\Lambda} \right) \right| \leq C \, \varepsilon^{n+1} \, |t| (1 + |t|^d) \, |||B||| \, .$$

Let $f : \mathbb{R} \to [0,1]$ be a smooth "switching" function, i.e. f(t) = 0 for $t \le 0$ and f(t) = 1 for $t \ge T > 0$, and define

 $H(t) := H_0 + f(t)(V_v + \varepsilon H_1).$

Let $f : \mathbb{R} \to [0,1]$ be a smooth "switching" function, i.e. f(t) = 0 for $t \le 0$ and f(t) = 1 for $t \ge T > 0$, and define

 $H(t) := H_0 + f(t)(V_v + \varepsilon H_1).$

Theorem (Adiabatic switching)

The solution of the adiabatic time-dependent Schrödinger equation

$$\mathrm{i}arepsilonrac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}
ho^{arepsilon,\Lambda}(t) = [H^{arepsilon,\Lambda}(t),
ho^{arepsilon,\Lambda}(t)] \qquad ext{with} \qquad
ho^{arepsilon,\Lambda}(0) = P_0^{\Lambda}$$

satisfies for all $t \ge T$ that for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists a constant C such that for any local Hamiltonian B

$$\sup_{\Lambda} \frac{1}{|\Lambda|} \left| \operatorname{tr} \left(\rho^{\varepsilon,\Lambda}(t) B^{\Lambda} \right) - \operatorname{tr} \left(\Pi_n^{\varepsilon,\Lambda} B^{\Lambda} \right) \right| \leq C \, \varepsilon^{n-d} \left| t \right| (1+|t|^d) \left| \left| B \right| \right| .$$

In the quantum Hall example from the beginning take the current operator

$$J_1^{\Lambda} = \partial_{lpha_1} H_0^{\Lambda}(lpha)|_{lpha = 0}$$

as the observable.

In the quantum Hall example from the beginning take the current operator

$$J_1^{\Lambda} = \partial_{\alpha_1} H_0^{\Lambda}(\alpha)|_{\alpha=0}$$

as the observable. Then the Hall current density satisfies

$$j_{\text{Hall},1}^{\Lambda} = \frac{1}{|\Lambda|} \left(\operatorname{tr}(\Pi_n^{\varepsilon,\Lambda} J_1^{\Lambda}) - \operatorname{tr}(P_0^{\Lambda} J_1^{\Lambda}) \right) + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^{n-2})$$

In the quantum Hall example from the beginning take the current operator

$$J_1^{\Lambda} = \partial_{\alpha_1} H_0^{\Lambda}(\alpha)|_{\alpha=0}$$

as the observable. Then the Hall current density satisfies

$$\begin{split} j^{\Lambda}_{\mathrm{Hall},1} &= \frac{1}{|\Lambda|} \left(\mathrm{tr}(\Pi_n^{\varepsilon,\Lambda} J_1^{\Lambda}) - \mathrm{tr}(P_0^{\Lambda} J_1^{\Lambda}) \right) + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^{n-2}) \\ &= \frac{\varepsilon}{|\Lambda|} \operatorname{tr}(P_1^{\varepsilon,\Lambda} J_1^{\Lambda}) + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^2) \,, \end{split}$$

uniformly in the system size.

In the quantum Hall example from the beginning take the current operator

$$J_1^{\wedge} = \partial_{\alpha_1} H_0^{\wedge}(\alpha)|_{\alpha=0}$$

as the observable. Then the Hall current density satisfies

$$\begin{split} j^{\mathsf{A}}_{\mathrm{Hall},1} &= \frac{1}{|\mathsf{A}|} \left(\mathrm{tr}(\mathsf{\Pi}_n^{\varepsilon,\mathsf{A}} J_1^{\mathsf{A}}) - \mathrm{tr}(P_0^{\mathsf{A}} J_1^{\mathsf{A}}) \right) + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^{n-2}) \\ &= \frac{\varepsilon}{|\mathsf{A}|} \operatorname{tr}(P_1^{\varepsilon,\mathsf{A}} J_1^{\mathsf{A}}) + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^2) \,, \end{split}$$

uniformly in the system size.

Inserting the explicit expression for $P_1^{\varepsilon,\Lambda}$, we obtain for the Hall conductivity Kubo's "current-current-correlation" formula

$$\sigma_{\text{Hall}}^{\Lambda} := \frac{j_{\text{Hall},1}^{\Lambda}}{\varepsilon} = \frac{i}{|\Lambda|} \operatorname{tr} \left(P_0^{\Lambda} \left[\partial_{\alpha_1} P_0^{\Lambda}(\alpha) |_{\alpha=0}, \left[X_2, P_0^{\Lambda} \right] \right] \right) + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon) \,.$$

If the perturbation and/or the observable are localized, the result holds with the corresponding normalisation of the trace.

- If the perturbation and/or the observable are localized, the result holds with the corresponding normalisation of the trace.
- ▶ We actually prove a general **space-time adiabatic theorem**, similar to what we called **space-adiabatic perturbation theory** long ago (**Panati, Spohn, T. (2003)**).

- If the perturbation and/or the observable are localized, the result holds with the corresponding normalisation of the trace.
- We actually prove a general space-time adiabatic theorem, similar to what we called space-adiabatic perturbation theory long ago (Panati, Spohn, T. (2003)).
- The new proof in the context of interacting systems and error bounds uniform in the system size is inspired by the recent adiabatic theorem of Bachmann, de Roeck, Fraas (2017).

- If the perturbation and/or the observable are localized, the result holds with the corresponding normalisation of the trace.
- We actually prove a general space-time adiabatic theorem, similar to what we called space-adiabatic perturbation theory long ago (Panati, Spohn, T. (2003)).
- The new proof in the context of interacting systems and error bounds uniform in the system size is inspired by the recent adiabatic theorem of Bachmann, de Roeck, Fraas (2017).
- The most important technical ingredient is the local inverse of the Liouvillian that was constructed in the context of the quasi-adiabatic evolution (aka spectral flow) based on Lieb-Robinson bounds. (Hastings et al. (2005), Nachtergaele et al. (2012))

S. Bachmann, W. de Roeck, and M. Fraas.

The adiabatic theorem and linear response theory for extended quantum systems.

arXiv:1707.02838 (2017).

S. Bachmann, W. de Roeck, and M. Fraas. The adiabatic theorem and linear response theory for extended quantum systems.

arXiv:1707.02838 (2017).

S. Bachmann, S. Michalakis, B. Nachtergaele, and R. Sims. Automorphic equivalence within gapped phases of quantum lattice systems.

Communications in Mathematical Physics 309:835–871 (2012).

S. Bachmann, W. de Roeck, and M. Fraas. The adiabatic theorem and linear response theory for extended quantum systems.

arXiv:1707.02838 (2017).



S. Bachmann, S. Michalakis, B. Nachtergaele, and R. Sims. Automorphic equivalence within gapped phases of quantum lattice systems.

Communications in Mathematical Physics 309:835-871 (2012).

G. Panati, H. Spohn, and S.T. Effective dynamics for Bloch electrons: Peierls substitution and beyond Communications in Mathematical Physics 242:547–578 (2003).

S. Bachmann, W. de Roeck, and M. Fraas. The adiabatic theorem and linear response theory for extended quantum systems.

arXiv:1707.02838 (2017).



S. Bachmann, S. Michalakis, B. Nachtergaele, and R. Sims. Automorphic equivalence within gapped phases of quantum lattice systems.

Communications in Mathematical Physics 309:835-871 (2012).

G. Panati, H. Spohn, and S.T. Effective dynamics for Bloch electrons: Peierls substitution and beyond Communications in Mathematical Physics 242:547–578 (2003).



D. Monaco and S.T.

Adiabatic currents for interacting electrons on a lattice. arXiv:1707.01852 (2017).

S. Bachmann, W. de Roeck, and M. Fraas. The adiabatic theorem and linear response theory for extended quantum systems.

arXiv:1707.02838 (2017).



S. Bachmann, S. Michalakis, B. Nachtergaele, and R. Sims. Automorphic equivalence within gapped phases of quantum lattice systems.

Communications in Mathematical Physics 309:835-871 (2012).

G. Panati, H. Spohn, and S.T. Effective dynamics for Bloch electrons: Peierls substitution and beyond Communications in Mathematical Physics 242:547–578 (2003).



D. Monaco and S.T. Adiabatic currents for interacting electrons on a lattice. arXiv:1707.01852 (2017).

S.T.

Non-equilibrium almost-stationary states for interacting electrons on a lattice.

arXiv:1708.03581 (2017).

S. Bachmann, W. de Roeck, and M. Fraas. The adiabatic theorem and linear response theory for extended quantum systems.

arXiv:1707.02838 (2017).



S. Bachmann, S. Michalakis, B. Nachtergaele, and R. Sims. Automorphic equivalence within gapped phases of quantum lattice systems.

Communications in Mathematical Physics 309:835–871 (2012).

Thanks for your attention!



D. Monaco and S.T. Adiabatic currents for interacting electrons on a lattice. arXiv:1707.01852 (2017).

S.T.

Non-equilibrium almost-stationary states for interacting electrons on a lattice.

arXiv:1708.03581 (2017).