

# SCHRÖDINGER OPERATORS WITH POTENTIAL

$$V(n) = n^{-\gamma} \cos(2\pi n^\rho)$$

HELGE KRÜGER

ABSTRACT. Let  $H$  be the Schrödinger operator with potential  $V(n) = n^{-\gamma} \cos(2\pi n^\rho)$ , where  $\rho \in (1, 2)$  and  $\gamma \in (0, \frac{1}{2} - \frac{\rho-1}{2})$ . I show that for almost every boundary condition  $H$  has pure-point spectrum.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

In this short note, I wish to show that already the sequence  $n^\rho \pmod{1}$  generates sufficient randomness to expect results for decaying potentials similar to the case of independent, identically distributed random variables as discussed by Simon in [12]. Let  $\rho$  and  $\gamma$  satisfy

$$(1.1) \quad \rho \in (1, 2), \quad \gamma \in \left(0, \frac{1}{2} - \frac{\rho-1}{2}\right)$$

and introduce the potential

$$(1.2) \quad V_{\gamma,\rho}(n) = \frac{1}{n^\gamma} \cos(2\pi n^\rho).$$

For  $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$ , we introduce the half-line Schrödinger operator  $H_{\gamma,\rho}^\beta : \ell^2(\mathbb{Z}_+) \rightarrow \ell^2(\mathbb{Z}_+)$  by

$$(1.3) \quad (H_{\gamma,\rho}^\beta u)(n) = \begin{cases} u(n+1) + u(n-1) + V_{\gamma,\rho}(n)u(n), & n \geq 2; \\ u(2) + (V_{\gamma,\rho}(1) + \beta)u(1), & n = 1. \end{cases}$$

Here  $\beta$  plays the role of a boundary condition. Since  $V_{\gamma,\rho}(n)$  converges to 0 as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , we have that  $\sigma_{\text{ess}}(H_{\gamma,\rho}^\beta) = [-2, 2]$ . We will show

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $\rho$  and  $\gamma$  obey (1.1). Then for almost every  $\beta$ ,  $H_{\gamma,\rho}^\beta$  has pure point spectrum in  $[-2, 2]$ .*

This result is motivated by the work of Lukic [10], in which he showed that for  $V(n) = \frac{1}{n^\gamma} \cos(2\pi \alpha n)$  with  $\gamma > 0$  and  $\alpha$  irrational the operator  $H$  has absolutely continuous spectrum in  $[-2, 2]$ . Furthermore, he shows that the possible singular spectrum  $[-2, 2]$  is contained in a finite set of points.

The condition on  $\beta$  in Theorem 1.1 is optimal. In fact it is known (see Section 12.4. in [13]) that for a dense  $G_\delta$  set of  $\beta$  the operator  $H_{\gamma,\rho}^\beta$  has singular continuous spectrum.

---

*Date:* June 29, 2010.

*1991 Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 81Q10; Secondary 47B36.

*Key words and phrases.* Schrödinger Operators, pure point spectrum, decaying potentials.

H. K. was supported by an Erwin Schrödinger Junior Research Fellowship.

**Remark 1.2.** *It is possible to consider more general potentials of the form*

$$(1.4) \quad V(n) = \frac{1}{n^\gamma} \cos(2\pi n^\rho) + \frac{f(n)}{n^{\gamma+\varepsilon}},$$

where  $\gamma$  and  $\rho$  obey (1.1),  $f(n)$  is a bounded sequence, and  $\varepsilon > 0$ . The proof of the theorem is essentially the same.

**Remark 1.3.** *The proof shows that for some  $\varepsilon > 0$ , we have for all generalized eigenfunctions  $\psi$*

$$(1.5) \quad |\psi(n)| \leq \exp(-|n|^\varepsilon)$$

for  $n$  large enough. It is possible to give an upper bound similar to [12] by a simple extension of the proof.

Let me now discuss, if the range of  $\gamma$  and  $\rho$  given in (1.1) is optimal. By the results of Christ and Kiselev [2], Deift and Killip [4], or Remling [11], we have that  $H_{\gamma,\rho}^\beta$  has absolutely continuous spectrum for  $\gamma > \frac{1}{2}$ . Furthermore, the results of Stolz from [15] imply that  $H_{\gamma,\rho}^\beta$  has purely absolutely continuous spectrum for  $\rho \in (0, 1)$  and  $\gamma > 0$ . The already mentioned result by Lukic [10] imply absolutely continuous spectrum for  $\rho = 1$  and  $\gamma > 0$ .

However, I would expect that in the complement of this range, that is  $\rho > 1$  and  $\gamma \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$  the operator  $H_{\gamma,\rho}^\beta$  has pure point spectrum for almost every  $\beta$ . The main reason for this is that the Lyapunov exponent  $L_\lambda(E)$  associated to the potential  $V(n) = 2\lambda \cos(2\pi n^\rho)$  is expected to behave like  $L_\lambda(E) \geq \gamma\lambda^2$  for some  $\gamma > 0$  as  $\lambda \rightarrow 0$ . See the work of Bourgain [1], and my own in [7] and [8] for some positive results in this direction.

Naive computations with transfer matrices actually suggest the following more general picture. Assume that  $V$  is a bounded potential and that the associated Lyapunov exponent behaves like  $L_\lambda(E) \sim \lambda^p$  as  $\lambda \rightarrow 0$ . Then one would expect absolutely continuous spectrum for  $\gamma > \frac{1}{p}$  and  $\gamma < \frac{1}{p}$ . Somewhat supportive of this is Question 4.7. in the review article [14] of Simon.

## 2. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1

Introduce for  $\alpha$  irrational,  $\omega \in [0, 1]$ , and  $\lambda > 0$  the Almost–Mathieu operator by

$$(2.1) \quad \begin{aligned} \widehat{H}_{\lambda,\alpha,\omega} &: \ell^2(\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \ell^2(\mathbb{Z}), \\ \widehat{H}_{\lambda,\alpha,\omega} u(n) &= u(n+1) + u(n-1) + 2\lambda \cos(2\pi(\omega + n\alpha))u(n). \end{aligned}$$

We will need the following fact about the spectrum of this operator.

**Theorem 2.1.** *Let  $\delta > 0$ ,  $\lambda > 0$ ,  $\alpha$  irrational, and  $\omega \in [0, 1]$ . There exists a constant  $\kappa = \kappa(\delta) > 0$  such that for*

$$(2.2) \quad 2 \cos(\pi\alpha) \in [-2 + \delta, -\delta] \cup [\delta, 2 - \delta],$$

we have

$$(2.3) \quad \text{dist}(\pm 2 \cos(\pi\alpha), \sigma(\widehat{H}_{\lambda,\alpha,\omega})) \geq \lambda\kappa.$$

*Proof.* See [1], [5], [7], [9]. □

Given an interval  $[a, b] \subseteq \mathbb{Z}$  with  $a \geq 2$ , we denote by  $H_{\gamma, \rho}^{[a, b]}$  the restriction of  $H_{\gamma, \rho}^\beta$  to  $\ell^2([a, b])$ . We have dropped the  $\beta$  from the notation, since  $H_{\gamma, \rho}^{[a, b]}$  no longer depends on it. We will use the assertion of that the Almost–Mathieu operator has gaps, to conclude that also appropriate finite restrictions of  $H_{\gamma, \rho}^\beta$  do.

Before stating this, let us introduce some notation. For  $k \geq 2$ , we introduce

$$(2.4) \quad \Lambda_k = [2^{k-1}, 2^{k+1} + 2^{k-1}],$$

$$(2.5) \quad \Lambda_k^c = [2^k, 2^{k+1}],$$

$$(2.6) \quad \Lambda_k^- = [2^{k-1}, 2^k - 1],$$

$$(2.7) \quad \Lambda_k^+ = [2^{k+1} + 1, 2^{k+1} + 2^{k-1}].$$

We also define

$$(2.8) \quad \varepsilon = \frac{1}{6}(2 - \rho - 2\gamma).$$

We note  $\varepsilon > 0$  if (1.1) holds.

**Proposition 2.2.** *Let  $\delta > 0$  and  $k \geq k_1(\delta)$ . There exists a set  $\mathcal{E}_{\delta, k}^1$  such that*

$$(2.9) \quad \begin{aligned} & \text{(i) } |\mathcal{E}_{\delta, k}^1| \leq \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{k^2}. \\ & \text{(ii) Let} \\ & E \in ([-2 + \delta, -\delta] \cup [\delta, 2 - \delta]) \setminus \mathcal{E}_{\delta, k}^1. \end{aligned}$$

*Then there exist intervals  $I^\pm \subseteq \Lambda_k^\pm$  satisfying*

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{(a) } \#(I^\pm) \geq 2 \cdot 2^{(\gamma+2\varepsilon)k} + 1. \\ & \text{(b) } \text{dist}(E, \sigma(H_{\gamma, \rho}^{I^\pm}) \geq 2^{-(\gamma+\varepsilon)k}. \end{aligned}$$

The proof will be given in one of the following sections. I note that this proposition is the main step and one could for example finish the proof by an adaptation of the results of Stolz in [16]. I have decided to include a proof to present some ideas of [8] in a more accessible framework. For  $x, y \in \Lambda \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_+ \setminus \{1\}$ , the Green's function is introduced as

$$(2.10) \quad G_{\gamma, \rho}^\Lambda(E, x, y) = \langle e_x, (H_{\gamma, \rho}^\Lambda - E)^{-1} e_y \rangle$$

with  $e_x$  the standard basis of  $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}_+)$ .

**Corollary 2.3.** *Let  $\delta > 0$  and  $k \geq k_2(\delta)$ . There exists a set  $\mathcal{E}_{\delta, k}^2$  such that*

$$(2.11) \quad \begin{aligned} & \text{(i) } |\mathcal{E}_{\delta, k}^2| \leq \frac{1}{k^2}. \\ & \text{(ii) Let } y \in \Lambda_k^c, x \in \{2^{k-1}, 2^{k+1} + 2^{k-1}\}, \text{ and} \\ & E \in ([-2 + \delta, -\delta] \cup [\delta, 2 - \delta]) \setminus \mathcal{E}_{\delta, k}^2. \end{aligned}$$

*We have*

$$(2.12) \quad |G_{\Lambda_k}(E, x, y)| \leq \exp\left(-2^{\frac{1}{5}\varepsilon k}\right).$$

We now proceed to derive Theorem 1.1. The strategy of proof is often called *spectral averaging*. See for example Section 12.3. in the book [13] by Simon for another implementation of this strategy. Fix some  $\gamma, \rho$  satisfying (1.1). For  $\delta > 0$ , introduce

$$(2.13) \quad \mathcal{E}_\delta = \bigcap_{\ell \geq k_2(\delta)} \left( \bigcup_{k \geq \ell} \mathcal{E}_{\delta, k}^2 \right).$$

The Borel–Cantelli argument shows that  $|\mathcal{E}_\delta| = 0$ . In particular also

$$(2.14) \quad \mathcal{E} = \bigcup_{j \geq 2} \mathcal{E}_{\frac{1}{j}}$$

has zero measure. It is well-known that there exists a unique probability measure  $\mu^\beta$  that satisfies

$$(2.15) \quad \int \frac{1}{t-z} d\mu^\beta(t) = \langle e_1, (H_{\gamma,\rho}^\beta - z)^{-1} e_1 \rangle$$

for  $\text{Im}(z) > 0$ . This measure is known as the *spectral measure*. The main ingredient in spectral averaging is

**Lemma 2.4.** *There exists a set  $\mathcal{B}$  such that  $|\mathbb{R} \setminus \mathcal{B}| = 0$  and for  $\beta \in \mathcal{B}$ , we have  $\mu^\beta(\mathcal{E}) = 0$ .*

*Proof.* By Theorem 11.8. in [13], we have that  $\int \mu^\beta d\beta$  is the Lebesgue measure. Thus

$$\int \mu^\beta(\mathcal{E}) d\beta = 0.$$

Since  $\mu^\beta(\mathcal{E}) \geq 0$ , the claim follows.  $\square$

We will now show that for  $\beta \in \mathcal{B}$ ,  $H^\beta$  has pure point spectrum. For  $\mu^\beta$  almost every  $E \in (-2, 2) \setminus \{0\}$  there exists a generalized eigenfunction, that is a nonzero solution  $u$  of

$$(2.16) \quad H_\beta^{\gamma,\rho} u = E u$$

interpreted as a difference equation satisfying  $|u(n)| \leq n$  for  $n \geq 1$  and  $u(0) = 0$ . See for example Lemma 3.1. in [17].

*Proof of Theorem 1.1.* Let  $\beta \in \mathcal{B}$  and  $u$  some generalized eigenfunction belonging to the generalized eigenvalue  $E$ . We will show that  $u \in \ell^2(\mathbb{Z}_+)$ , which implies that  $\mu^\beta$  must be a pure point.

By construction of  $\mathcal{E}$ , we can choose  $\delta > 0$  and  $\ell \geq 1$  such that

$$E \in [-2 + \delta, -\delta] \cup [\delta, 2 - \delta].$$

and for  $k \geq \ell$

$$E \in \mathcal{E}_{\delta,k}.$$

For  $x \in \Lambda_k^c = [2^k, 2^{k+1}]$ , we have

$$u(x) = -G_{\gamma,\rho}^{\Lambda_k}(E, x, 2^k) u(2^{k-1} - 1) - G_{\gamma,\rho}^{\Lambda_k}(E, x, 2^{k+1} + 2^{k-1}) u(2^{k+1} + 2^{k-1} + 1).$$

Since by Corollary 2.3 the Green's functions are exponentially small in  $x$ , we obtain that  $|u(x)| \leq \frac{1}{x^2}$  for  $k$  large enough. This implies that  $u \in \ell^2(\mathbb{Z}_+)$  finishing the proof.  $\square$

## 3. PROOF OF PROPOSITION 2.2

We note that  $(m+n)^\rho = m^\rho + n \cdot \rho m^{\rho-1} + O(\frac{n^2}{m^{2-\rho}})$ . Motivated by this, we introduce  $\alpha_m = \rho m^{\rho-1}$ ,  $\omega_m = m^\rho$ , and the potential

$$(3.1) \quad V_m(n) = \frac{1}{m^\gamma} \cos(2\pi(\alpha_m n + \omega_m)).$$

We introduce the whole line Schrödinger operator  $H_m = \Delta + V_m$ , where  $\Delta$  is the discrete Laplacian. This is just the Almost–Mathieu operator. In fact, we have

$$(3.2) \quad H_m = \widehat{H}_{\frac{1}{2}m^{-\gamma}, \alpha_m, \omega_m}.$$

We furthermore have that  $V_m(n) - V_{\gamma, \rho}(n)$  is small for  $|n - m|$  small. We make this precise

**Lemma 3.1.** *Assume (1.1) and define  $\varepsilon$  as in (2.8). For  $|n| \leq m^{\gamma+2\varepsilon}$ , we have*

$$(3.3) \quad |V(m+n) - V_m(n)| \leq \frac{C_1}{m^{\gamma+2\varepsilon}},$$

where  $C_1 = C_1(\gamma, \rho)$  is a constant only depending on  $\gamma$  and  $\rho$ .

*Proof.* We compute

$$\begin{aligned} V(m+n) - V_m(n) &= \left( \frac{1}{(m+n)^\gamma} - \frac{1}{m^\gamma} \right) \cos(2\pi(m+n)^\rho) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{m^\gamma} (\cos(2\pi(m+n)^\rho) - \cos(2\pi(\alpha_m n + \omega_m))). \end{aligned}$$

So

$$|V(m+n) - V_m(n)| \leq \frac{n}{(m-n)^{\gamma+1}} + \frac{2\pi\rho(\rho-1)}{(m-n)^{\gamma+2-\rho}} n^2.$$

The claim follows.  $\square$

Define

$$(3.4) \quad N = \left\lfloor 2^{k(\gamma+2\varepsilon)} \right\rfloor, \quad E_m = 2 \cos(\pi \alpha_m).$$

**Lemma 3.2.** *Let  $C > 0$  and assume (1.1). For  $k \geq k_0(C) \geq 1$ , define*

$$(3.5) \quad S = \left\lfloor \frac{2 \cdot 2^{\gamma k}}{C} \right\rfloor.$$

There exist  $\{m_s^\pm\}_{s=-S}^S$  such that

$$(3.6) \quad E_{m_s^\pm} \in \left[ \frac{C}{2^{\gamma k}}(s-1), \frac{C}{2^{\gamma k}}(s+1) \right]$$

and

$$(3.7) \quad m_s^\pm \in [2^{k\pm 1} + N + 1, 2^{k\pm 1} + 2^{k-1} - N - 1].$$

*Proof.* Since the map  $x \mapsto 2 \cos(\pi x)$  is Lipschitz, it suffices to find a sequence  $\hat{m}_s^\pm$  such that  $\alpha_{\hat{m}_s^\pm} \pmod{1}$  is  $\frac{C}{2\pi} \frac{1}{2^{\gamma k}}$  dense in  $[0, 1]$ . Then we can choose  $m_s^\pm$  as a refinement.

For this observe first that

$$\alpha_{m+1} - \alpha_m \leq \frac{\rho(\rho-1)}{m^{2-\rho}} \leq \frac{\rho(\rho-1)}{m^\gamma} \cdot \frac{1}{m^\gamma},$$

where we used (1.1). Second, we can compute that

$$\alpha_{2^{k\pm 1} + 2^{k-1} - N - 1} - \alpha_{2^{k\pm 1} + N + 1} = \rho 2^{(k\pm 1)(\rho-1) + N + 1} ((2^{k-1} - 2N - 2)^{\rho-1} - 1) \geq 2$$

for  $k$  large enough. The claim follows.  $\square$

We apply this lemma with  $C = \frac{\kappa}{4}$ , where  $\kappa$  is the constant from Theorem 2.1. We introduce the energy intervals

$$(3.8) \quad I_s^\pm = \left[ E_{m_s}^\pm + \frac{\kappa}{4} \frac{1}{2^{\gamma k}}, E_{m_s}^\pm - \frac{\kappa}{4} \frac{1}{2^{\gamma k}} \right].$$

We also have for  $k \geq 1$  large enough that for any

$$(3.9) \quad E \in [-2 + \delta, -\delta] \cup [\delta, 2 - \delta]$$

there exists  $s$  such that  $E \in I_s^+$  and  $t$  such that  $E \in I_t^-$ . Define

$$(3.10) \quad Q_s^\pm = [m_s^+ - N, m_s^+ + N].$$

We now obtain

**Lemma 3.3.** *For  $k \geq 1$  large enough. We have that*

$$(3.11) \quad \# \left( \sigma(H_{\gamma, \rho}^{Q_s^\pm}) \cap I_s^\pm \right) \leq 4.$$

*Proof.* Let  $m = m_s^\pm$ ,  $\alpha = \alpha_m$ ,  $\omega = \omega_m$ , and  $Q = Q_s^\pm$ . By Theorem 2.1, we have

$$\sigma(\widehat{H}_{\frac{1}{2}m-\gamma, \alpha, \omega}) \cap [E_m - \frac{\kappa}{m^{\gamma+\varepsilon}}, E_m + \frac{\kappa}{m^{\gamma+\varepsilon}}] = \emptyset.$$

Next, since

$$\widehat{H}_{\frac{1}{2}m-\gamma, \alpha, \omega} = \widehat{H}_{\frac{1}{2}m-\gamma, \alpha, \omega}^Q \oplus \widehat{H}_{\frac{1}{2}m-\gamma, \alpha, \omega}^{\mathbb{Z} \setminus Q} + K$$

with  $K$  a rank 4 operator, we have

$$\# \left( \sigma(\widehat{H}_{\frac{1}{2}m-\gamma, \alpha, \omega}^Q) \cap [E_m - \frac{\kappa}{m^{\gamma+\varepsilon}}, E_m + \frac{\kappa}{m^{\gamma+\varepsilon}}] \right) \leq 4.$$

Now, the claim follows from Lemma 3.1.  $\square$

Introduce the set  $\mathcal{E}_{1,k}$  as the set of energies

$$(3.12) \quad E \in [-2 + \delta, -\delta] \cup [\delta, 2 - \delta]$$

such that for some  $s$  and  $t$ , we have

$$(3.13) \quad \text{dist}(E, \sigma(H_{\gamma, \rho}^{Q_s^+})) \geq \frac{1}{2^{k(\gamma+\varepsilon)}},$$

$$(3.14) \quad \text{dist}(E, \sigma(H_{\gamma, \rho}^{Q_t^-})) \geq \frac{1}{2^{k(\gamma+\varepsilon)}}.$$

We have that

**Lemma 3.4.** *We have*

$$(3.15) \quad |\mathcal{E}_{1,k}| \leq \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{k^2}.$$

*Proof.* Because of the properties of the set  $I_s^\pm$ , it suffices to eliminate a  $\frac{1}{2^{(k+1)(\gamma+\frac{1}{2}\varepsilon)}}$  of the energies in (3.11). Hence, we have the bound

$$|\mathcal{E}_{1,k}| \leq 6S \frac{1}{2^{(k+1)(\gamma+\frac{1}{2}\varepsilon)}} \leq \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{k^{\frac{2\varepsilon}{3}}} \leq \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{k^2},$$

for  $k$  large enough. This finishes the proof.  $\square$

*Proof of Proposition 2.2.* Let  $E \in \mathcal{E}_{1,k}$ . Then we may choose  $I^+ = Q_s^+$  from (3.13) and  $I^- = Q_t^-$  from (3.14). The claim follows.  $\square$

#### 4. PROOF OF COROLLARY 2.3

Define the set  $\mathcal{F}_k$  as the set of energies  $E \in [-2, 2]$  such that

$$(4.1) \quad \text{dist}(E, \sigma(H_{\gamma,\rho}^{[a,b]})) \leq 2e^{-(2^k)^{\frac{5}{4}}}$$

for some  $a \in \Lambda_k^-$  and  $b \in \Lambda_k^+$ .

**Lemma 4.1.** *For  $k$  large enough, we have that*

$$(4.2) \quad |\mathcal{F}_k| \leq \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{k^2}.$$

*Proof.* There are less than  $2^{2k}$  many possible choices for  $a, b$ . Each set  $\sigma(H_{\gamma,\rho}^{[a,b]})$  contains less than  $2^{k+1}$  elements. Hence, we are taking the  $e^{-2^{k\varepsilon}}$  neighborhood of a set containing less than  $2^{3k+1}$  elements. The claimed estimate follows.  $\square$

We introduce

$$(4.3) \quad \mathcal{E}_{\delta,k}^2 = \mathcal{E}_{\delta,k}^1 \cup \mathcal{F}_k.$$

We see that  $|\mathcal{E}_{\delta,k}^2| \leq \frac{1}{k^2}$ .

*Proof of Corollary 2.3.* Let  $E \in \mathcal{E}_{\delta,k}^2$  and let  $I^\pm$  be the two intervals from Proposition 2.2 (ii.b). By the Combes–Thomas estimate (see [3] or Lemma 10.1 in [8]), we obtain from (3.11) that

$$|G_{\gamma,\rho}^{I^\pm}(E, x, y)| \leq \exp\left(-\frac{c|x-y|}{2(\gamma+\varepsilon)k}\right)$$

for an universal constant  $c > 0$  and  $x, y \in I^\pm$ .

Choose  $a$  in the center of  $I^+$  and  $b$  in the one of  $I^-$ . By the second resolvent equation

$$\begin{aligned} |G_{\gamma,\rho}^{\Lambda_k}(E, x, y)| &\leq e^{2\frac{k\varepsilon}{4}} (|G_{\gamma,\rho}^{\Lambda_k}(E, x, a)| + |G_{\gamma,\rho}^{\Lambda_k}(E, x, b)|) \\ &\leq 4e^{2\cdot 2\frac{k\varepsilon}{4}} \exp\left(-\frac{c}{2(\gamma+\varepsilon)k} 2^{k(\gamma+2\varepsilon)}\right). \end{aligned}$$

The claim follows by choosing  $k$  large enough.  $\square$

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I am thanking to Daniel Ueltschi and Robert Sims for their kind invitation to the second Arizona School of Analysis with Applications, where the idea for this project originated. Furthermore, I thank Milivoje Lukic for useful discussions.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] J. Bourgain, *Positive Lyapounov exponents for most energies*, Geometric aspects of functional analysis, 37–66, Lecture Notes in Math. **1745**, Springer, Berlin, 2000.
- [2] M. Christ, A. Kiselev, *Absolutely continuous spectrum for one-dimensional Schrödinger operators with slowly decaying potentials: some optimal results*. Journal of the AMS, **11** (1998), 771–797.
- [3] J.M. Combes, L. Thomas, *Asymptotic behaviour of eigenfunctions for multiparticle Schrödinger operators*. Comm. Math. Phys. **34** (1973), 251–270.

- [4] P. Deift, R. Killip, *On the absolutely continuous spectrum of one-dimensional Schrödinger operators with square summable potentials*. Comm. Math. Phys. 203, 341–347 (1999).
- [5] B. Helffer, P. Kerdelhué, J. Sjöstrand, *Le papillon de Hofstadter revisité*. Mém. Soc. Math. France (N.S.), No. 43 (1990), 87 pp.
- [6] H. Krüger, *A family of Schrödinger Operators whose spectrum is an interval*, Comm. Math. Phys. 290:3, 935–939 (2009).
- [7] H. Krüger, *Probabilistic averages of Jacobi operators*. Comm. Math. Phys. **295:3**, 853–875 (2010).
- [8] H. Krüger, *Multiscale Analysis for Ergodic Schrödinger operators and positivity of Lyapunov exponents*, preprint.
- [9] H. Krüger, *Semiclassical analysis of the largest gap of quasi-periodic Schrödinger operators*. Math. Model. Nat. Phenom. (to appear).
- [10] M. Lukic, *Orthogonal polynomials with recursion coefficients of generalized bounded variation*.
- [11] C. Remling, *The absolutely continuous spectrum of one-dimensional Schrödinger operators with decaying potentials*. Comm. Math. Phys. **193** (1998), 151 – 170.
- [12] B. Simon, *Some Jacobi matrices with decaying potential and dense point spectrum*, Comm. Math. Phys. **87** (1982), 253–258.
- [13] B. Simon, *Trace Ideals and Their Applications*. Second edition, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, **120**, American Mathematical Society, 2005
- [14] B. Simon, *Fine Structure of the Zeros of Orthogonal Polynomials: A Progress Report*, Contemporary Mathematics **507** (2010).
- [15] G. Stolz, *Spectral theory for slowly oscillating potentials. I. Jacobi matrices*. Manuscripta Math. **84**, no. 3-4, 245–260 (1994).
- [16] G. Stolz, *Localization for Schrödinger operators with effective barriers*, J. Funct. Anal. **146:2** (1997), 416–429.
- [17] G. Teschl, *Jacobi Operators and Completely Integrable Nonlinear Lattices*. Math. Surv. and Mon. **72**, Amer. Math. Soc., Rhode Island, 2000.

ERWIN SCHRÖDINGER INSTITUTE, BOLTZMANNGASSE 9, A-1090 VIENNA, AUSTRIA  
E-mail address: helge.krueger@gmail.com